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Heritage Impact Assessment for the old bridge near Richmond
Heritage Impact Assessment for the old bridge on Krysfontein and Weltevreden 826, near Richmond

1. Introduction and Methodology

Debbie Whelan of Archaic Consulting was approached by Mr Alistair Hunter from Umgeni Water in order to provide an opinion as to the intended alteration of a small farm bridge on the farm Krysfontein and Weltevreden, near Richmond, owned by Mr Eric Burgess.

Dr Whelan visited the site on the morning of 9 October 2012 and inspected the bridge. Further to this a drawing of the intended intervention by Umgeni Water was provided, showing the extent of the proposed works. Given the nature of the project, limited archival work was carried out, and rather, recourse was made to historic maps and early aerial photographs.

2. Assessment of bridge

The bridge is a modest structure that crosses the Mkuzane River close to the contemporary road bridge on the R56 which leads from Pietermaritzburg to Richmond at GPS co-ordinates S 29°48'28.5" and 30°20'8.5"E. The track crossing the bridge is dirt and it travels parallel with it for some distance. It is visible on the 1937 aerial photographs suggesting that the bridge was extant at the time.

Fig 1: Showing old bridge from the R56
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The structure is not particularly diagnostic with regards to establishing an age of construction, nor is it easy to inspect or photograph in its entirety given the landscape and the undergrowth. However, it is strongly suspected that it formed part of the original main road to Richmond, one of the first roads in the Colony, given realignment at some time between 1930 and 1968.\(^1\) The structure is over 60 years of age, thus subject to the protection of the KwaZulu-Natal Heritage Act no 4 of 2008.

The bridge is constructed of off-shutter concrete spanning the river by means of two substantial concrete piers which project beyond the sides of the bridge (see Fig 2 below). A protective railing consisting of two rails of railway iron spans a series of shaped bollards (see Fig 3 below) some of which are unstable, and out of line possibly due to being hit by traffic in the past, or ground movement.

\(^1\) Topodadastral 2930CD 1960 shows an alignment adjacent to the railway line, whereas earlier maps (Map from 1930 Compilations Series and 1904 Masson Map) show the alignment crossing the railway line at this point.

Fig 2: Showing shaped bridge piers (western edge)

In plan, the alignment of the railings to both sides of the bridge is similarly distorted, with any symmetry in the past obliterated (see Fig 11). As noted, this could also be due to ground movement or traffic impact (see Fig 4)

Fig 3 (left): Showing shaped bollard intersected with railway iron railings

Fig 4 (above) Dislocated bollard
Given the use of concrete, and the concomitant use of railway iron, this bridge could well be an early example of the use of concrete in the province.

The significance of the structure, despite its seclusion, is medium. Given that its origins could well have been the old main road which dates back to the early settler days of Natal Colony, this structure would form part of an historic infrastructural landscape.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Local</th>
<th>Regional</th>
<th>National</th>
<th>International</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Architectural</td>
<td>Medium (of interest)</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Historical</td>
<td>Medium (of interest)</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social</td>
<td>Medium (of interest)</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technical</td>
<td>Medium (of interest)</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scientific</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
<td>low</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. Assessment of planned intervention by Engineers

As noted, Archaic Consulting was presented with an engineering drawing, namely that numbered 46056 prepared by Umgeni Water for proposed Richmond Pipeline (see Fig 11).

This shows the distortion of the railings in plan. However, it also notes the destruction of bollards (numbers 1, 6 and 7) and by implication, railings which form an integral part of the bridge. Furthermore, it also entails the fixing of the pipeline to the concrete piers by means of saddles bolted into the concrete. All of this can be construed as irreversibly affecting a heritage resource.
4. Conclusion

Although the bridge is not very visible, and not necessarily in good repair, its condition and presence is no excuse for destruction through ill - considered planning.

It is strongly recommended that the pipeline be realigned in order to avoid the destruction of the bridge bollards and railings.

Should it be possible that the pipeline can be threaded through the extant railings, this would be acceptable.

The saddle fixings to the piers are acceptable since this work is more reversible than the destruction of the railings.